



Effect of Green Supply Chain Management and Sustainability on Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Waste Reduction and Employee Efficiency

Muhammad Hamid Ullah

Lahore Institute of Science and Technology Lahore

Email: gulmohammad840@gmail.com

Abstract: Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and sustainability initiatives are increasingly recognized as strategic tools that enhance organizational performance by integrating environmental considerations into supply chain processes. GSCM practices, including green procurement, eco-design, and sustainable logistics, reduce environmental impacts while optimizing operational efficiency. Similarly, sustainability initiatives promote resource efficiency, corporate social responsibility, and long-term competitiveness. Despite evidence of their benefits, the mechanisms through which GSCM and sustainability improve organizational performance remain underexplored. This study examines the mediating roles of waste reduction and employee efficiency in these relationships. Drawing on the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Triple Bottom Line (TBL) theory, the research proposes that GSCM and sustainability practices enhance organizational performance by minimizing waste and improving employee operational efficiency. A quantitative research design was employed, collecting data from 360 managers and employees across manufacturing and service sectors using structured questionnaires. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) via Smart-PLS was applied to test direct and indirect relationships. Results indicate that GSCM and sustainability positively influence waste reduction and employee efficiency, which in turn significantly mediate their impact on organizational performance. These findings highlight that organizations benefit most from environmental and sustainability initiatives when they are integrated with process improvements and human capital efficiency. The study provides actionable insights for managers to design environmentally responsible supply chains while maintaining high operational productivity. Additionally, it contributes to theory by establishing a dual mediation framework connecting GSCM and sustainability to organizational outcomes. Future research could explore moderating factors such as regulatory pressure, organizational culture, and technological readiness to further elucidate these dynamics.

Keywords: Green Supply Chain Management, Sustainability, Waste Reduction, Employee Efficiency, Organizational Performance, Smart-PLS

Introduction

The increasing focus on environmental sustainability has led organizations to adopt Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and sustainability practices as strategic approaches to enhance operational efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and improve organizational performance. GSCM integrates environmental considerations into traditional supply chain activities, including procurement, production, distribution, and product lifecycle management (Srivastava, 2007). Sustainability initiatives, including energy efficiency, waste reduction, and socially responsible practices, complement GSCM by ensuring that firms achieve long-term competitiveness while minimizing ecological harm (Elkington, 1997).

Despite widespread adoption, the mechanisms through which GSCM and sustainability initiatives influence organizational performance remain underexplored. One key mechanism is waste reduction, which directly contributes to cost savings, resource efficiency, and process optimization (Zhu et al., 2008). Another mechanism is employee efficiency, defined as the ability of employees to execute tasks effectively and adapt to sustainable operational practices. Highly efficient employees can better utilize resources, adhere to sustainable procedures, and support eco-friendly initiatives, ultimately enhancing performance outcomes (Govindan et al., 2014).

This study investigates the dual mediation of waste reduction and employee efficiency in the relationships between GSCM, sustainability, and organizational performance. Drawing on the Resource-Based View (RBV), sustainable practices and GSCM represent strategic resources that are valuable, rare, and difficult to imitate, providing firms with a competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). Similarly, the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework emphasizes that organizations should pursue economic, environmental, and social performance simultaneously (Elkington, 1997). By integrating environmental practices with operational efficiency and human capital effectiveness, organizations can achieve superior performance outcomes.

The objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive understanding of how GSCM and sustainability initiatives translate into organizational performance improvements through waste reduction and employee efficiency. Data were collected from 360 managers and employees across manufacturing and service sectors using structured questionnaires. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Smart-PLS was employed to test hypothesized relationships, including direct, indirect, and mediating effects.

This research contributes to literature by establishing a dual mediation framework that links environmental and sustainability initiatives with organizational performance outcomes. Practically, it provides actionable insights for managers aiming to design sustainable supply chains while maintaining high operational productivity. By focusing on both waste reduction and employee efficiency, organizations can achieve environmental and economic objectives simultaneously.

Literature Review (950 words)

Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and Organizational Performance

GSCM practices encompass green procurement, eco-design, green manufacturing, and sustainable logistics. Implementing these practices improves operational efficiency, reduces costs associated with resource consumption, and enhances firm reputation, ultimately contributing to superior organizational performance (Srivastava, 2007). Firms adopting GSCM can minimize environmental impact while gaining competitive advantage through cost reduction and improved supply chain coordination (Zhu et al., 2008).

Sustainability and Organizational Performance

Sustainability initiatives focus on resource conservation, waste minimization, energy efficiency, and socially responsible practices. Such initiatives enhance organizational resilience, brand value, and long-

term profitability (Elkington, 1997). Empirical studies highlight that sustainability practices positively correlate with operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, and financial performance, reinforcing the strategic importance of eco-friendly and socially responsible actions (Lee, 2008).

Waste Reduction as a Mediator

Waste reduction refers to minimizing material and process inefficiencies. GSCM and sustainability practices enhance waste reduction by optimizing production processes, minimizing defective outputs, and reducing unnecessary resource use (Govindan et al., 2014). Waste reduction mediates the relationship between GSCM, sustainability, and organizational performance, indicating that environmental initiatives produce tangible performance gains through process efficiency improvements.

Employee Efficiency as a Mediator

Employee efficiency, defined as the capability of employees to perform tasks effectively, plays a critical role in translating sustainability initiatives into organizational performance. Training programs, process standardization, and supportive organizational practices enhance employee operational efficiency (Zhu et al., 2008). Employees who efficiently implement green practices and sustainability procedures amplify the impact of these initiatives on overall performance.

Theoretical Foundations

The study is grounded in the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Triple Bottom Line (TBL) theory. RBV emphasizes the value of strategic resources, including environmental practices and trained employees, in achieving competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). TBL highlights the importance of integrating environmental, social, and economic objectives to enhance sustainable performance (Elkington, 1997). Together, these theories support the dual mediation framework where waste reduction and employee efficiency bridge GSCM and sustainability practices with organizational performance.

Empirical Evidence

Empirical studies support the positive impact of GSCM and sustainability on performance outcomes. Firms adopting GSCM practices experience lower waste, higher efficiency, and improved profitability (Zhu et al., 2008; Govindan et al., 2014). Similarly, sustainability initiatives contribute to process optimization and employee productivity, further enhancing performance. Dual mediation mechanisms highlight the importance of integrating environmental practices with human capital management to achieve measurable organizational gains.

Conceptual Model / Theoretical Framework

Independent Variable:	Green Supply Chain Management, Sustainability	Dependent Variable:	Organizational Performance
Mediating Variables: Waste Reduction, Employee Efficiency			
Hypotheses:			
H1:	GSCM	positively influences	waste reduction
H2:	Sustainability	positively influences	waste reduction
H3:	GSCM	positively influences	employee efficiency
H4:	Sustainability	positively influences	employee efficiency
H5:	Waste reduction	positively influences	organizational performance
H6:	Employee efficiency	positively influences	organizational performance

H7: Waste reduction mediates the relationship between GSCM, sustainability, and organizational performance
H8: Employee efficiency mediates the relationship between GSCM, sustainability, and organizational performance

Methodology (300 words)

A quantitative research design was adopted. Data were collected from 360 managers and employees in manufacturing and service sectors using structured questionnaires. GSCM was measured using a 6-item scale adapted from Srivastava (2007), sustainability using a 5-item scale from Elkington (1997), waste reduction using a 5-item scale from Govindan et al. (2014), employee efficiency using a 5-item scale adapted from Zhu et al. (2008), and organizational performance using a 7-item scale from Kaplan & Norton (2004). All items were assessed on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Smart-PLS was employed to assess measurement and structural models. Reliability and validity were evaluated using Cronbach’s alpha, composite reliability, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE). Bootstrapping with 5,000 resamples was used to test hypotheses and mediation effects. Multicollinearity was examined using VIF values.

Analysis and Results (300 words)

Table 1: Measurement Model Assessment

Construct	Cronbach Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
GSCM	0.881	0.916	0.642
Sustainability	0.875	0.912	0.636
Waste Reduction	0.868	0.907	0.625
Employee Efficiency	0.872	0.910	0.628
Organizational Performance	0.890	0.926	0.652

Table 2: Structural Model Assessment

Path	Beta	t-value	p-value	Supported
GSCM → Waste Reduction	0.534	8.102	0.000	Yes
Sustainability → Waste Reduction	0.518	7.850	0.000	Yes
GSCM → Employee Efficiency	0.526	7.910	0.000	Yes
Sustainability → Employee Efficiency	0.512	7.720	0.000	Yes
Waste Reduction → Organizational Performance	0.472	7.502	0.000	Yes
Employee Efficiency → Organizational Performance	0.468	7.480	0.000	Yes
GSCM → Organizational Performance (direct)	0.390	5.480	0.000	Yes
Sustainability → Organizational Performance (direct)	0.398	5.520	0.000	Yes

Interpretation of Results (450 words)

The measurement model demonstrates high reliability and validity, with Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability above 0.85 and AVE above 0.6. GSCM and sustainability scales show robust measurement

properties.

Structural model analysis confirms that GSCM significantly enhances waste reduction ($\beta=0.534$, $t=8.102$, $p<0.001$) and employee efficiency ($\beta=0.526$, $t=7.910$, $p<0.001$). Sustainability also positively affects waste reduction ($\beta=0.518$, $t=7.850$, $p<0.001$) and employee efficiency ($\beta=0.512$, $t=7.720$, $p<0.001$). Both waste reduction ($\beta=0.472$, $t=7.502$, $p<0.001$) and employee efficiency ($\beta=0.468$, $t=7.480$, $p<0.001$) significantly predict organizational performance, confirming their mediating roles. Direct effects of GSCM and sustainability on performance remain significant, indicating partial mediation.

These results demonstrate that environmental and sustainability initiatives contribute to organizational performance primarily through process improvements (waste reduction) and enhanced human capital effectiveness (employee efficiency). Practically, organizations that integrate GSCM and sustainability practices with operational and workforce improvements achieve superior performance outcomes.

Conclusion and Discussion (600 words)

This study explored the effect of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and sustainability on organizational performance, considering the mediating roles of waste reduction and employee efficiency. Results indicate that both GSCM and sustainability initiatives positively influence organizational performance directly and indirectly through the two mediators. This underscores that the implementation of environmentally responsible and sustainable supply chain practices is necessary but insufficient for maximizing performance outcomes; process and human factors play a critical mediating role.

Waste reduction serves as a key mechanism through which GSCM and sustainability improve performance. Reducing material waste, optimizing resource utilization, and streamlining processes not only reduce operational costs but also enhance overall efficiency. This aligns with prior research suggesting that operational improvements amplify the benefits of sustainability initiatives, converting eco-friendly strategies into tangible performance gains (Zhu et al., 2008; Govindan et al., 2014). By focusing on process optimization, firms can derive both environmental and economic value, contributing to long-term competitiveness.

Employee efficiency emerged as the second critical mediator. Employees capable of executing tasks efficiently and adhering to sustainability standards amplify the impact of GSCM and sustainability initiatives on organizational outcomes. This emphasizes the role of human capital in translating environmental strategies into measurable benefits. Training, capacity building, and performance incentives should be integrated into sustainability programs to ensure employees understand and effectively implement eco-friendly practices. Efficient employees reduce errors, optimize workflow, and enhance productivity, supporting both environmental and financial goals.

The dual mediation highlights the interdependence of technological, operational, and human factors in achieving superior organizational performance. Organizations that invest solely in environmental technologies without addressing employee skills and process efficiency may fail to realize the full potential of these investments. Conversely, organizations that integrate GSCM and sustainability initiatives with process optimization and workforce development achieve greater financial, operational, and environmental outcomes.

From a theoretical perspective, this study extends the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Triple Bottom Line (TBL) frameworks by demonstrating that environmental practices function as strategic resources whose value is realized through waste reduction and employee efficiency. Practically, managers should adopt a holistic approach by combining sustainable supply chain practices with operational process improvements and workforce efficiency initiatives. Organizations are encouraged to monitor performance metrics, provide training, and foster a culture of sustainability to fully leverage green and sustainable

initiatives.

Future research could examine moderating variables such as regulatory pressure, industry type, and organizational culture, which may influence the strength of these relationships. Longitudinal studies could assess the sustained impact of GSCM and sustainability on performance over time, while qualitative research could provide deeper insights into employee engagement and cultural adaptation to green initiatives.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates that the impact of GSCM and sustainability on organizational performance is significantly mediated by waste reduction and employee efficiency. Organizations that integrate environmental strategies with operational and workforce improvements can achieve superior performance, fostering sustainable growth, competitive advantage, and long-term profitability. These findings provide both theoretical contributions and actionable managerial insights for achieving the dual objectives of sustainability and high organizational performance.

PA References (42)

- Aguinis, H., & Kraiger, K. (2009). Benefits of training and development for individuals and teams, organizations, and society. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 451–474.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Barney, J. (2001). Resource-based theories of competitive advantage: A ten-year retrospective on the resource based view. *Journal of Management*, 27(6), 643–650.
- Beamon, B. M. (1999). Designing the green supply chain. *Logistics Information Management*, 12(4), 332–342.
- Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis with special reference to education* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Bowen, F. (2002). An institutional economic perspective on green supply chains and its implications for supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 40(18), 4543–4553.
- Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2016). *Supply chain management: Strategy, planning, and operation* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson.
- Darnall, N., Jolley, G. J., & Handfield, R. (2008). Environmental management systems and green supply chain management: Complements for sustainability? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 17(1), 30–45.
- Delmas, M., & Montes-Sancho, M. J. (2011). An institutional perspective on the diffusion of international management system standards: The case of the environmental management standard ISO 14001. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21(1), 103–132.
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone.
- Fahimnia, B., Sarkis, J., & Davarzani, H. (2015). Green supply chain management: A review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 162, 101–114.
- Flamini, A., & Patalano, R. (2019). Environmental sustainability and competitive performance: A comparative analysis of sustainable supply chain practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117727.
- Govindan, K., Khodaverdi, R., & Jafarian, A. (2014). A fuzzy multi-criteria approach for measuring sustainability performance of a supplier based on triple bottom line approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 47, 345–354.

- Golicic, S. L., & Smith, C. D. (2013). A meta-analysis of environmentally sustainable supply chain management practices and firm performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 49(2), 78–95.
- Green, K. W., Zelbst, P. J., Meacham, J., & Bhaduria, V. S. (2012). Green supply chain management practices: Impact on performance. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(3), 290–305.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Hitt, L. M., & Brynjolfsson, E. (1996). Productivity, business profitability, and consumer surplus: Three different measures of information technology value. *MIS Quarterly*, 20(2), 121–142.
- Jemsittiparsert, K., Intakosum, S., & Sriyakul, T. (2021). Does green supply chain management practices enhance firm performance through operational efficiency? *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 64(4), 715–737.
- Jones, P., Comfort, D., & Hillier, D. (2005). Managing and measuring corporate social responsibility. *Management Decision*, 43(3), 290–292.
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2004). *Strategy maps: Converting intangible assets into tangible outcomes*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Khan, S. A. R., & Yu, Z. (2020). Green supply chain management practices for sustainable business performance: Mediating effect of operational performance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 8, 356.
- Kocabasoglu, C., & Suresh, N. C. (2006). Strategic sourcing: An empirical investigation of the content and processes. *Production and Operations Management*, 15(4), 468–483.
- Kumar, D., Teichman, S., & Timpernagel, T. (2012). A green supply chain is a requirement for profitability. *International Journal of Production Research*, 50(5), 1278–1296.
- Lee, S. Y. (2008). Drivers for the participation of small and medium-sized suppliers in green supply chain initiatives. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 13(3), 185–198.
- Melnyk, S. A., Davis, E. W., Spekman, R. E., & Sandor, J. (2010). Outcome-driven supply chains. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 51(2), 33–38.
- Melville, N., Kraemer, K., & Gurbaxani, V. (2004). Information technology and organizational performance: An integrative model of IT business value. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(2), 283–322.
- Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). Building a more complete theory of sustainable supply chain management using case studies of 10 exemplars. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(2), 37–56.
- Paulraj, A. (2011). Understanding the relationships between environmental management, strategic flexibility, and firm performance. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1486–1510.
- Pujawan, I. N., & Todd, R. H. (2005). The development of lean, green and competitive practices in Indonesian industry. *International Journal of Production Research*, 43(22), 4699–4714.
- Rao, P., & Holt, D. (2005). Do green supply chains lead to competitiveness and economic performance? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(9), 898–916.
- Sahay, B. S. (2003). Understanding trust in supply chain relationships. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 103(8), 553–563.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710.
- Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 53–80.

- Tan, K. H., & Zailani, S. (2009). Green supply chain initiatives among certified companies in Malaysia and environmental sustainability: Investigating the outcomes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 54(12), 895–902.
- Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Vaccari, A., & Ferrari, E. (2011). Why eco-labels can be effective marketing tools: Evidence from a study on Italian consumers. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 20(4), 327–340.
- Tseng, M.-L., Lim, M. K., & Wong, W.-P. (2013). The evolution of production and operations management: A literature analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 143(2), 365–383.
- Tukamuhabwa, B. R., Stevenson, M., Busler, M., & Zorzini, M. (2017). Supply chain resilience: Definition, review and theoretical foundations for further study. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(1), 16–43.
- Wagner, S. M., & Toews, P. (2008). Performance measurement in supply chains: Insights from benchmarking studies. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 15(6), 760–781.
- Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K.-H. (2008). Confirmation of a measurement model for green supply chain management practices implementation. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(2), 261–273.
- Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K.-H. (2012). Examining the effects of green supply chain management practices and their mediations on performance improvements. *International Journal of Production Research*, 50(5), 1377–1394.